

# On a criterion for Catalan's Conjecture

## Abstract

We give a new proof of a theorem of P. Mihăilescu which states that the equation  $x^p - y^q = 1$  is unsolvable with  $x, y$  integral and  $p, q$  odd primes, unless the congruences  $p^q \equiv p \pmod{q^2}$  and  $q^p \equiv q \pmod{p^2}$  hold.

MSC-index: 11D61, 11R18

Keywords: Catalan's conjecture, cyclotomic fields, class group

Improving criterions for Catalan's equation by Inkeri[3], Mignotte[5], Schwarz[9] and Steiner[10], Mihailescu[8] proved the following theorem.

**Theorem 1** *Let  $p, q$  be odd prime numbers. Assume that  $p^q \not\equiv p \pmod{q^2}$  or  $q^p \not\equiv q \pmod{p^2}$ . Then the equation  $x^p - y^q = 1$  has no nontrivial integer solutions.*

Here we will give a different proof of this theorem. More precisely, we will show the following statement.

**Theorem 2** *Let  $p, q$  be odd prime numbers, and assume that the equation  $x^p - y^q = 1$  has some nontrivial solution. Then we have either  $q^2 | p^q - p$  or the  $q$ -rank of the relative class group of the  $p$ -th cyclotomic field is at least  $(p - 5)/2$ .*

Note that different from Mihailescu's proof of Theorem 1, we have to make use of estimates for the relative size of  $p$  and  $q$  obtained using bounds for linear forms in logarithms, thus the passage from Theorem 2 to Theorem

1 is by no means elementary. However, the proof of Theorem 2 makes much less use of special properties of cyclotomic fields than Mihailescu's proof of Theorem 1, thus it might be easier to adapt to different situations.

To deduce Theorem 1 from Theorem 3, it suffices to show that the second alternative is impossible. Assume that  $x^p - y^q = 1$ , and that the  $q$ -rank of the relative class group of the  $p$ -th cyclotomic field is at least  $(p - 5)/2$ . This implies  $q^{(p-5)/2} \leq h^-(p)$ . The class number  $h^-(p)$  was estimated by Masley and Montgomery[4], they showed that for  $p > 200$  we have  $h^-(p) < (2\pi)^{-p/2} p^{(p+31)/4}$ . Thus we get  $q < \sqrt{p}$ . On the other hand, Mignotte and Roy[6] proved, that for  $q \geq 3000$  we have  $p \leq 2.77q \log q (\log p - \log \log q + 2.33)^2$ , combining these inequalities and observing that Mignotte and Roy[7] have shown that  $q > 10^5$ , thus  $\log \log q > 2.33$ , we get  $p \leq 1.92 \log^6 p$ , which implies  $p < 6.6 \cdot 10^7$ , thus  $q < \sqrt{p} < 8200$  contradicting the lower bound  $q > 10^5$  mentioned above.

To prove theorem 3, we follow the lines of [9], incorporating an idea of Eichler[2].  $K$  be the  $p$ -th cyclotomic field,  $\zeta$  a  $p$ -th root of unity,  $I_K$  the group of fractional ideals in  $K$ ,  $i : K^* \rightarrow I_K$  the canonical map  $x \mapsto (x)$ ,  $K^+ = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta + \zeta^{-1})$  be the maximal real subfield of  $K$ ,  $\mathcal{O}_K$  be the ring of integers of  $K$ . Denote with  $r$  the  $q$ -rank of the relative class group of  $K$ . We begin with a Lemma.  $\mathcal{Q}$  be the set of prime ideals dividing  $q$  in  $K$ . Choose a primitive root  $g$  of  $p$  and define  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(K|\mathbb{Q})$  by the relation  $\zeta^\sigma = \zeta^g$ .

**Lemma 3** *There is a subgroup  $I_0$  of  $I_K$  with the following properties:*

1. *The prime ideals in  $\mathcal{Q}$  do not appear in the factorization of any ideal in  $I_0$*

2.  $I_K/(i(K^*)I_0)$  has  $q$ -rank  $r$

3. If  $\epsilon \in K^*$  with  $(\epsilon) \in I_0$ , then  $\epsilon/\bar{\epsilon}$  is a root of unity.

*Proof:* This is Lemma 1 in [9].

Now assume that  $x$  and  $y$  are nonzero integers with  $x^p - y^q = 1$ . We have [3]

$$\left(\frac{x - \zeta}{1 - \zeta}\right) = \mathfrak{j}^q$$

for some integral ideal  $\mathfrak{j}$ . The ideal classes with  $\mathfrak{j}^q = (1)$  generate an  $r$ -dimensional vector space over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  in  $I_K/(i(K^*)I_0)$ , hence there are integers  $a_0, \dots, a_r$ , not all divisible by  $q$ , such that  $\mathfrak{j}^{a_0+a_1\sigma+\dots+a_r\sigma^r}$  lies in  $i(K^*)I_0$ . Thus we get

$$\left(\frac{x - \zeta}{1 - \zeta}\right)^{a_0+a_1\sigma+\dots+a_r\sigma^r} = \epsilon\alpha^q$$

with  $(\epsilon) \in I_0$  and  $\alpha$  is  $\mathfrak{q}$ -integral for all prime ideals  $\mathfrak{q}$  dividing  $q$ , since the left hand side is  $\mathfrak{q}$ -integral, and  $(\epsilon)$  is not divisible by  $\mathfrak{q}$  by condition 1 of Lemma 4. We multiply this equation with  $(-\zeta^{-1}(1 - \zeta))^{a_0+a_1\sigma+\dots+a_r\sigma^r}$  to get

$$(1 - x\zeta^{-1})^{a_0+a_1\sigma+\dots+a_r\sigma^r} = \epsilon'\lambda\alpha^q \quad (1)$$

where  $\lambda$  divides some power of  $p$ , and  $\epsilon'$  differs from  $\epsilon$  by some power of  $\zeta$ , especially  $(\epsilon) = (\epsilon')$ .

By [1], we have  $q|x$ , thus the left hand side of (1) can be simplified (mod  $q^2$ ). We get

$$1 - x(a_0\zeta^{-1} + a_1\zeta^{-\sigma} + \dots + a_r\zeta^{-\sigma^r}) \equiv \epsilon'\lambda\alpha^q \pmod{q^2} \quad (2)$$

The complex conjugate of the right hand side can be written as  $\zeta^k\epsilon'\lambda\bar{\alpha}^q$ , since every  $p$ -th root of unity is the  $q$ -th power of some root of unity, this

equals  $\epsilon' \lambda \beta^q$  for some  $\beta \in K^*$ . Thus if we subtract the complex conjugate of (2), we get

$$x (a_0 \zeta^{-1} + \dots + a_r \zeta^{-\sigma^r} - a_0 \zeta^{-\bar{1}} - \dots - a_r \zeta^{-\bar{\sigma}^r}) \equiv \epsilon' \lambda (\alpha^q - \beta^q) \pmod{q^2} \quad (3)$$

The left hand side of (3) is divisible by  $q$ , since  $x$  is divisible by  $q$ , and the bracket is integral. However,  $(\epsilon') \in I_0$ , and by construction we have  $(\epsilon', q) = (1)$ , and  $\lambda$  divides some power of  $p$ , thus we have  $(\lambda, q) = (1)$ , too. Hence  $q | \alpha^q - \beta^q$ , and since  $q$  is unramified, this implies  $q^2 | \alpha^q - \beta^q$ . Hence  $q^2$  divides the left hand side of (3). But  $x$  is rational, thus either  $q^2 | x$ , or  $q$  divides the bracket. By [1], we have  $x \equiv -(p^{q-1} - 1) \pmod{q^2}$ , hence the first possibility implies  $q^2 | p^q - p$ . Thus to prove our theorem, it suffices to show that the second choice is impossible.

Assume that

$$a_0 \zeta^{-1} + a_1 \zeta^{-\sigma} + \dots + a_r \zeta^{-\sigma^r} - a_0 \zeta^{-\bar{1}} - a_1 \zeta^{-\bar{\sigma}} - \dots - a_r \zeta^{-\bar{\sigma}^r} = q\alpha$$

This can be written as

$$a_0 X^{-\bar{1}} + a_1 X^{-\bar{g}} + \dots + a_r X^{-\bar{g}^r} - a_0 X - a_1 X^g - \dots - a_r X^{g^r} = qF(X) + G(X)\Phi(x)$$

where  $F$  and  $G$  are polynomials with rational integer coefficients,  $\Phi$  is the  $p$ -th cyclotomic polynomial, and  $\bar{a}$  denotes the least nonnegative residue  $(\text{mod } p)$  of  $a$ . The left hand side is of degree  $\leq p - 1$ , and since we may assume that the leading coefficient of  $G$  is prime to  $q$ , this implies that  $G$  is constant. Further on the left hand side there are at most  $2r + 2 \leq p - 3$  nonvanishing coefficients, thus  $G = 0$ . This implies that all coefficients on the left hand side vanish  $(\text{mod } q)$ . But all the monomials on the left hand side have different exponents, since otherwise we would have  $g^{s_1} \equiv \pm g^{s_2}$

(mod  $p$ ), which would imply that the order of  $g$  is  $\leq 2r \leq p - 5$ , but  $g$  was chosen to be primitive. Hence all  $a_i$  vanish (mod  $q$ ), but this contradicts the choice of the  $a_i$  at the very beginning.

## References

- [1] J. W. S. Cassels, *On the equation  $a^x - b^y = 1$*  Proc. Camb. Philos. Soc. 56, 97-103 (1960)
- [2] M. Eichler, *Eine Bemerkung zur Fermatschen Vermutung*, Acta Arith. 11, 129-131 (1965)
- [3] K. Inkeri, *On Catalan's Conjecture*, J. Number Theory 34, 142-152 (1990)
- [4] J. Masley, H. L. Montgomery, *Cyclotomic fields with unique factorization* J. reine angew. Math. 286/287, 248-256 (1976)
- [5] M. Mignotte, *A criterion on Catalan's equation* J. Number Theory 52, 280-283 (1995)
- [6] M. Mignotte, Y. Roy *Catalan's equation has no new solution with either exponent less than 10651* Exp. Math. 4, 259-268 (1995)
- [7] M. Mignotte, Y. Roy, *Minorations pour l'equation de Catalan* C. R. Acad. Sci., Paris, Ser. I 324, 377-380 (1997)
- [8] P. Mihăilescu, *A class number free criterion for Catalan's conjecture*, manuscript, Zürich (1999)

- [9] W. Schwarz, *A note on Catalan's equation* Acta Arith. 72, 277-279 (1995).
- [10] R. Steiner, *Class number bounds and Catalan's equation* Math. Comput. 67, 1317-1322 (1998).

Jan-Christoph Puchta  
Mathematisches Institut  
Eckerstraße 1  
79104 Freiburg  
Germany  
jcp@arcade.mathematik.uni-freiburg.de