

NORMAL GROWTH OF LARGE GROUPS

THOMAS W. MÜLLER and JAN-CHRISTOPH PUCHTA

ABSTRACT. For a finitely generated group Γ , denote with $s_n^\triangleleft(\Gamma)$ the number of normal subgroups of index n . A. Lubotzky proved that for the free group F_r of rank r , $s_n^\triangleleft(F_r)$ is of type $n^{\log n}$. We show that the same is true for a much larger class of groups. On the other hand we show that for almost all n , the inequality $s_n^\triangleleft(\Gamma) < n^{r-1+\epsilon}$ holds true for every r -generated group Γ .

MSC-index 20E07, 11N64

Let Γ be a finitely generated group. Over the last 20 years, there has been a growing interest in the function $s_n(\Gamma)$, counting the number of subgroups of index n in Γ and variants thereof, most notably the functions $m_n(\Gamma)$, counting maximal subgroups, and $s_n^\triangleleft(\Gamma)$ counting normal subgroups. For an overview, see [?] and [?]. For large groups in the sense of Pride [?], i.e., groups having a subgroup of finite index, which maps surjectively onto a non-abelian free group, the first two functions appear to be closely related; in fact, in all known instances almost all finite index subgroups of a large group are maximal; cf. [?, Section 4.4] and [?, Proposition 8]. On the other hand, one might expect $s_n^\triangleleft(\Gamma)$ to carry more group theoretical information than the functions $s_n(\Gamma)$ and $m_n(\Gamma)$. However, as it turns out, the functions $s_n^\triangleleft(\Gamma)$ behave similar for a substantial class of groups Γ , including all large groups.

Note that as a function of n , $s_n^\triangleleft(\Gamma)$ behaves quite irregular. For example, if Γ is a free product of finite groups, and p is a prime dividing none of the orders of the free factors, then $s_p^\triangleleft(\Gamma) = 0$, while, as we will see below, for other indices there might be as many as $n^{c \log n}$ normal subgroups of index n . Indeed, a comparison of Theorems ?? and ?? below reveals an even greater amount of irregularity. These observations suggest that, instead of $s_n^\triangleleft(\Gamma)$ itself, it is more natural from an asymptotic point of view to consider the summatory function $S_n^\triangleleft(\Gamma) = \sum_{\nu \leq n} s_\nu^\triangleleft(\Gamma)$. In [?], A. Lubotzky proved that $S_n^\triangleleft(F_r)$ is of type $n^{\log n}$. Here, F_r denotes the free group of rank $r \geq 2$, and a function $f(n)$ is called of type $n^{\log n}$, if there are positive constants c_1, c_2 such that for n sufficiently large we have

$$n^{c_1 \log n} \leq f(n) \leq n^{c_2 \log n}.$$

In this note, we will show that the latter behaviour is not characteristic for free groups, but rather pertains to a substantial class of groups, including all large groups. More precisely, we prove the following.

Theorem 1. *Let Γ be a finitely generated group, possessing a finite index subgroup Δ which maps surjectively onto a group G such that the pro- p completion \widehat{G}^p of G is a non-abelian free pro- p group for some prime p . Then $S_n^\triangleleft(\Gamma)$ is of type $n^{\log n}$.*

In the proof of Theorem ?? we exhibit a large number of normal subgroups of p -power index, and one might wonder how the function $s_n^\triangleleft(\Gamma)$ behaves for other indices n . Somewhat surprisingly, as our next result shows, $s_n^\triangleleft(\Gamma)$ is ‘generically’ of polynomial type.

Theorem 2. (i) *Let Γ be an r -generated group. Then, for every $\varepsilon > 0$ and all but $o(x)$ numbers $n \leq x$, we have $s_n^\triangleleft(\Gamma) \leq n^{r-1+\varepsilon}$.*

(ii) *We have $s_n^\triangleleft(F_r) \geq n^{r-1}$ for all $n \geq 1$.*

(iii) *Suppose that Γ contains a subgroup of finite index projecting onto a free abelian group of rank $r \geq 2$. Then there exists a set $\mathcal{N} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ of positive asymptotic density and a constant $c > 0$, such that*

$$s_n^\triangleleft(\Gamma) \geq cn^{r-1}, \quad n \in \mathcal{N}.$$

The proof of Theorem ?? requires a slight sharpening of a result of A. Mann [?].

Theorem 3. *Let \widehat{F}_r^p be the free pro- p group of rank $r \geq 2$. Then there is some constant $c > 0$, such that for any fixed integer $k, \varepsilon > 0$, and $n > n_0(k, \varepsilon)$, there is a set $\{N_1, \dots, N_t\}$ of normal subgroups of index p^n in \widehat{F}_r^p , satisfying $t > p^{(c-\varepsilon)n^2}$ and $(N_i : N_i \cap N_j) > p^k$ for all $i \neq j$.*

Here and in the sequel, subgroups are understood to be closed. We first show how to deduce Theorem ?? from Theorem ??.

Proof of Theorem ??. Let Δ be a subgroup of finite index d in Γ , which maps onto a dense subgroup of a free pro- p group \widehat{F}_r^p for some $r \geq 2$. Let $N \triangleleft \Delta$ be a normal subgroup. Then the normalizer of N in Γ has index $\leq d$, hence, the index of the core of N in Γ has index in N bounded by $d!$, which is independent of N . Call two normal subgroups $N_1, N_2 \triangleleft \Delta$ of index n equivalent, if their intersection has index $\leq d!$ in each of them. Then we deduce that the number of inequivalent normal subgroups of Δ of index at most n is a lower bound for the number of normal subgroups in Γ of index $\leq dd!n$. Indeed, the core of N is normal in Γ of index $\leq dd!n$, and inequivalent normal subgroups have different core. Using Theorem ?? to estimate the number of inequivalent normal subgroups, we obtain the required lower bound. On the other hand, Lubotzky [?], refining a result of Pyber [?] for finite groups, has shown that $S_n^\triangleleft(\Gamma) \leq n^{3(d+1)\log n}$ holds for every d -generated group Γ . It follows that $S_n^\triangleleft(\Gamma)$ is indeed of type $n^{\log n}$ as claimed. \square

We now establish Theorem ??, building on arguments of Mann.

Proof of Theorem ??. In [?] (see also [?, Chapter 3.4]), Mann obtained the following:

- (1) $((\widehat{F}_r^p)' \cap \Phi^k(\widehat{F}_r^p))\Phi^{k+1}(\widehat{F}_r^p)/\Phi^{k+1}(\widehat{F}_r^p)$ is an elementary abelian p -group of rank $t \geq (7/6)^k - r$.
- (2) If $n > 14(2r+1)^2t$, then for every subgroup U of $((\widehat{F}_r^p)' \cap \Phi^k(\widehat{F}_r^p))\Phi^{k+1}(\widehat{F}_r^p)/\Phi^{k+1}(\widehat{F}_r^p)$, there exists a normal subgroup N of index p^n in \widehat{F}_r^p with $N\Phi^{k+1}(\widehat{F}_r^p)/\Phi^{k+1}(\widehat{F}_r^p) = U$.

Here, $\Phi(G)$ denotes the Frattini subgroup of G , and $\Phi^k(G)$ is the k -th iterate of this operator. Obviously, normal subgroups N_1, N_2 in \widehat{F}_r^p such that the intersection of $U_1 = N_1\Phi^{k+1}(\widehat{F}_r^p)/\Phi^{k+1}(\widehat{F}_r^p)$ with $U_2 = N_2\Phi^{k+1}(\widehat{F}_r^p)/\Phi^{k+1}(\widehat{F}_r^p)$ has index at least p^k in either of these groups (we abbreviate this condition by saying that U_1 and U_2 are inequivalent), satisfy $(N_i : N_1 \cap N_2) \geq p^k$. A subgroup U of C_p^t of rank $\lfloor t/2 \rfloor$ is equivalent to at most p^{2kt} subgroups of C_p^t of the same rank, for U has at most p^{kt} subgroups of index $\leq k$, and each such subgroup is contained in at most p^{kt} other subgroups of rank $\lfloor t/2 \rfloor$. Hence, there is a set consisting of $p^{t^2/4-2kt}$ subgroups of C_p^t of rank $\lfloor t/2 \rfloor$, such that the intersection of any two of them has index $> p^k$ in each of them. Passing from U to \widehat{F}_r^p , we see that the latter group has at least $p^{t^2/4-2kt}$ normal subgroups of index $\leq p^{14(2r+1)^2t}$, such that any two of them have an intersection of index $> p^k$ in each of them. Putting $c = (56(2r+1)^2)^{-1}$, the theorem follows. \square

Finally, we turn to the proof of Theorem ??.

Proof of Theorem ??. (i) The proof relies on the fact that, for almost all n (in the above probabilistic sense), all groups of order n are subject to a severe structural restriction. Erdős and Pálffy [?] showed that, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, almost all odd n have a divisor d with $d \leq (\log n)^{1+\varepsilon}$, n/d squarefree and prime to d , such that every group of order n contains a cyclic direct factor of index d . The same proof strategy gives the existence of a cyclic normal subgroup of index d for almost all even n . In fact, by Sylow's Theorem, the product of all prime divisors p of n such that $p^2 \nmid n$, and such that there is no divisor t of n with $t > 1$ and $t \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ may serve as n/d ; the problem to determine the normal size of d is then treated using methods from analytic number theory. Let \mathcal{N} be the set consisting of those integers n such that every group of order n has the structure described above. For $n \in \mathcal{N}$ we want to bound the number $f(n)$ of groups G of order n . Let N be the cyclic normal subgroup of G of index d . Since $(n/d, d) = 1$, the extension

$$0 \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow H \longrightarrow 0$$

splits by the Schur-Zassenhaus Theorem. Hence, G is determined up to isomorphism by the isomorphism type of H and the action of H on N , and we obtain for $n \in \mathcal{N}$

$$\begin{aligned} f(n) &= \sum_{\substack{H \\ |H|=d}} |\text{Hom}(H, \text{Aut}(N))| \\ &\leq f(d) \cdot \max_{|H|=d} |\text{Hom}(H, \text{Aut}(N))| \\ &\leq n^\varepsilon \cdot \max_{|H|=d} |\text{Hom}(H/[H, H], \text{Aut}(N))|, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the bound $f(d) \leq d^{c \log d}$ due to Pyber [?] and the fact that $\text{Aut}(N)$ is abelian. $\text{Aut}(N)$ is isomorphic to the group of units

$$\left(\mathbb{Z}/\frac{n}{d}\mathbb{Z}\right)^* \cong \prod_{\substack{p>2 \\ p|\frac{n}{d}}} C_{p-1},$$

since n/d is squarefree; cf. for instance [?, Section 2.5]. Decomposing $H/[H, H]$ as a direct product of cyclic groups of prime power order, we find that

$$|\mathrm{Hom}(H/[H, H], \mathrm{Aut}(N))| \leq \prod_{p|\frac{n}{d}} (d, p-1).$$

Taking into account all possible choices for d , we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathcal{N} \\ n \leq x}} \log \left(\max_{|H|=d} |\mathrm{Hom}(H, \mathrm{Aut}(N))| \right) &\leq \sum_{d \leq \log^{1+\varepsilon} x} \sum_p \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathcal{N} \\ n \leq x \\ pd|n}} \log(d, p-1) \\ &\leq 2 \log \log x \sum_{d \leq \log^{1+\varepsilon} x} \sum_{p \leq x} \frac{x}{pd} \\ &\leq x \log^\varepsilon x. \end{aligned}$$

We conclude that, with the exception of at most $\frac{x}{\sqrt{\log x}}$ integers $n \leq x$ of \mathcal{N} , we have $f(n) \leq n^\varepsilon$. Moreover, for $n \in \mathcal{N}$ we have $|\mathrm{Aut}(G)| \geq n^{1-\varepsilon}$, since we can lift each of the $\varphi(n/d)$ automorphisms of N to G due to the fact that $\mathrm{Aut}(N)$ is abelian. Putting the last two estimates together, we conclude that, for almost all n ,

$$s_n^\triangleleft(\Gamma) = \sum_{|G|=n} |\mathrm{Epi}(\Gamma, G)| \cdot |\mathrm{Aut}(G)|^{-1} \leq n^{r-1+2\varepsilon}$$

as claimed.

(ii) This follows from the facts that F_r projects onto the free abelian group C_∞^r of rank r , and that

$$s_n(C_\infty^r) = 1 * n * n^2 * \cdots * n^{r-1} \geq n^{r-1};$$

cf. [?, Proposition 1.1].

(iii) As in the proof of Theorem ??, it suffices to produce a large set of finite index subgroups of $\bar{\Delta} = C_\infty^r$ having pairwise intersections of large index. Let d and n be integers, U a subgroup of index n in $\bar{\Delta}$. We bound the number of subgroups V of index n satisfying $(U : U \cap V) = d$ as follows. Since $U \cong \bar{\Delta}$, there are $s_d(\bar{\Delta})$ possibilities for $U \cap V$. Fixing a subgroup H of index nd in $\bar{\Delta}$, the number of index n subgroups containing H equals

$$s_n(\bar{\Delta}/H) = s_d(\bar{\Delta}/H) \leq s_d(\bar{\Delta})$$

by duality. Hence, there exists a set $\{U_1, U_2, \dots, U_t\}$ of index n subgroups in $\bar{\Delta}$ such that $t > cn^{r-1}$ for some positive constant c , and such that $(U_i : U_i \cap U_j) > d$ for all $i \neq j$. Let Δ be a finite index subgroup of Γ projecting onto $\bar{\Delta}$. The core of the preimage of U_i in Γ has index bounded above in terms of $(\Gamma : \Delta)$ alone. It follows that, for each n , there exists $\nu \leq C$, such that $s_{n\nu}^\triangleleft(\Gamma) \geq cn^{r-1}$, whence our claim. \square

Remark. In Theorem ?? (ii), the occurrence of both the free group F_r and of the free abelian group C_∞^r is somewhat arbitrary. As it stands, the proof of (ii) works for every group projecting onto C_∞^r . Also, other groups with known normal growth may be used for comparison.

REFERENCES

- [1] P. Erdős and P. P. Pálffy, On the order of directly indecomposable groups, *Mat. Lapok* **33** (1986), 289 – 298.
- [2] F. Grunewald, D. Segal, and G. Smith, Subgroups of finite index in nilpotent groups, *Invent. Math.* **93**, 185 – 223.
- [3] E. Krätzel, *Zahlentheorie*, VEB Deutscher Verlag der Wissenschaften, Berlin, 1981.
- [4] A. Lubotzky, Counting finite-index subgroups, in: *Groups Galway/St Andrews 1993*, LMS Lecture Notes vol. 212, CUP, Cambridge, 1995, 368 – 404.
- [5] A. Lubotzky, Enumerating boundedly generated finite groups, *J. Algebra* **238** (2001), 194 – 199.
- [6] A. Lubotzky and D. Segal, *Subgroup Growth*, to appear.
- [7] A. Mann, Enumerating finite groups and their defining relations, *J. Group Theory* **1** (1998), 59 – 64.
- [8] T. Müller and J.-C. Puchta, Character theory of symmetric groups and subgroup growth of surface groups, *J. London Math. Society*, in press.
- [9] T. Müller and J.-C. Puchta, Classification and statistics of finite index subgroups in free products, submitted.
- [10] S. J. Pride, The concept of largeness in group theory, in: *Word problems II*, North Holland Publishing Company, 1980, 299 – 335.
- [11] L. Pyber, Enumerating finite groups of given order, *Ann. of Math.* **137** (1993), 203 – 220.

T. Müller
School of Mathematical Sciences
Queen Mary, University of London
Mile End Road
London E1 4NS
UK

J.-C. Puchta
Mathematisches Institut
Albert-Ludwigs-Universität
Eckerstr. 1
79104 Freiburg
Germany