

A Classification of Partial Boolean Clones

Dietlinde Lau
 Institut für Mathematik
 Universität Rostock
 Ulmenstraße 69 (Haus 3)
 18057 Rostock, Germany

Karsten Schölzel
 Institut für Mathematik
 Universität Rostock
 Ulmenstraße 69 (Haus 3)
 18057 Rostock, Germany

Abstract

We study intervals $\mathcal{I}(A)$ of partial clones whose total functions constitute a (total) clone A . In the Boolean case, we provide a complete classification of such intervals (according to whether the interval is finite or infinite), and determine the size of each finite interval $\mathcal{I}(A)$.

1 Introduction

Let A be a nonempty set and let T be a proper subset of A^n . For an arbitrary mapping f from T into A and for $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in A^n \setminus T$, one can use the notation $f(a_1, \dots, a_n) = \infty$ with $\infty \notin A$, to indicate that $f(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ is not defined. Then

$$\text{dom } f := \{(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in A^n \mid f(a_1, \dots, a_n) \neq \infty\}$$

is the *domain* of f . In the following, we use these notations for $A = E_k$ and for functions which are defined over subsets of E_k^n . More exact:

Let $\mathbb{N} := \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ be the set of positive integers. For a fixed $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $k > 1$, set $E_k := \{0, \dots, k-1\}$ and put $\tilde{E}_k := E_k \cup \{\infty\}$ where $\infty \notin E_k$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ an n -ary partial function on E_k is a map $f : E_k^n \rightarrow \tilde{E}_k$, where n is the *arity* of f . With (x_1, \dots, x_n) (briefly \tilde{x}) we denote an arbitrary n -tuple of E_k^n and usually we say that the x_i are *variables*. Furthermore, let $\mathbf{0} = (0, 0, \dots, 0)$ and $\mathbf{1} := (1, 1, \dots, 1)$ of appropriate length. We say that f is to-

tal if $\infty \notin \text{im } f := \{f(\tilde{a}) \mid \tilde{a} \in E_k^n\}$. When we introduce a partial function f we denote it by $f^{(n)}$ to specify that its arity is n . A partial function $g^{(n)}$ is a *subfunction* of $f^{(n)}$ if $g(\tilde{a}) \in \{f(\tilde{a}), \infty\}$ for all $\tilde{a} \in E_k^n$. Denote by $\tilde{P}_k^{(n)}$ the set of all n -ary partial functions on E_k and let $\tilde{P}_k := \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \tilde{P}_k^{(n)}$. Set $P_k^{(n)} := \{f \in \tilde{P}_k^{(n)} \mid f \text{ is total}\}$ and let $P_k := \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} P_k^{(n)}$. In the following we say “function” for “total function”.

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $F \subseteq \tilde{P}_k$ set $F^{(n)} := F \cap \tilde{P}_k^{(n)}$. For $a \in \tilde{E}_k$ and $1 \leq i \leq n$, the *constant function* c_a^n and the *projection* e_i^n are the n -ary functions of \tilde{P}_k and P_k defined by setting $c_a^n(x_1, \dots, x_n) := a$ and $e_i^n(x_1, \dots, x_n) := x_i$ for all $x_1, \dots, x_n \in E_k$. Denote by $J_k := \{e_i^n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}, 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ the set of all projections and let C_∞ consist of all $f \in \tilde{P}_k$ with $\text{dom } f = \emptyset$, i.e., $C_\infty = \{c_\infty^n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$.

On the set P_k and \tilde{P}_k we define *superposition operations*: permutation and identification of variables, adding of fictitious variables and substitution of variables of a function by functions. The superposition operations can exactly be described by the *elementary operations* (or *Mal'cev-operations*) $\zeta, \tau, \Delta, \nabla, \star$:

For $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $f^{(n)}, g^{(m)} \in \tilde{P}_k$ let

$$(\zeta f)(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) := f(x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n, x_1),$$

$$(\tau f)(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) := f(x_2, x_1, x_3, \dots, x_n),$$

$$(\Delta f)(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}) :=$$

$$f(x_1, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}) \text{ for } n \geq 2,$$

$$\zeta f = \tau f = \Delta f = f \text{ for } n = 1,$$

$$(\nabla f)(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n+1}) := f(x_2, x_3, \dots, x_{n+1})$$

and

$$(f \star g)(x_1, \dots, x_{m+n-1}) := \begin{cases} f(g(x_1, \dots, x_m), x_{m+1}, \dots, x_{m+n-1}) & \text{if} \\ g(x_1, \dots, x_m) \in \tilde{E}_k, & \\ \infty & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

A function $f \in \tilde{P}_k$ is called a *superposition* over $F (\subseteq \tilde{P}_k)$, if f can be obtained by a finite number of applications of the operations $\zeta, \tau, \Delta, \nabla, \star$ from the functions of F . Further, if $f \in \tilde{P}_k^{(n)}$, $g_1, \dots, g_n \in \tilde{P}_k^{(m)}$ and the m -ary function $h \in \tilde{P}_k$ is defined by $h(x_1, \dots, x_m) := f(g_1(x_1, \dots, x_m), g_2(x_1, \dots, x_m), \dots, g_n(x_1, \dots, x_m))$, then we write briefly $h := f(g_1, \dots, g_n)$. The set of all superpositions over $F (\subseteq \tilde{P}_k)$ is called *closure* of F and it is denoted by $[F]$.

A set $F \subseteq \tilde{P}_k$ satisfying $[F] = F$ is called a *closed set* of \tilde{P}_k . If $J_k \subseteq F = [F]$ then F is a *clone*. If $F := \{f_1, \dots, f_r\} \subset \tilde{P}_k$ then we write $[f_1, \dots, f_r]$ instead of $[F]$.

To describe closed subsets of \tilde{P}_k , h -ary relations (i.e., subsets of \tilde{E}_k^h), $h \geq 1$, are suitable. We often write the elements of relations in the form of columns and we often give a relation in the form of a matrix, the columns of which are the elements of the relation.

Let $\tilde{R}_k^{(h)}$ be the set of all h -ary relations over \tilde{E}_k and put $\tilde{R}_k := \bigcup_{h \geq 1} \tilde{R}_k^{(h)}$.

We say a function $f \in \tilde{P}_k$ *preserves an h -ary relation ϱ over \tilde{E}_k* , iff

$$f(\mathbf{r}_1, \dots, \mathbf{r}_n) := \begin{pmatrix} f(r_{11}, r_{12}, \dots, r_{1n}) \\ f(r_{21}, r_{22}, \dots, r_{2n}) \\ \vdots \\ f(r_{h1}, r_{h2}, \dots, r_{hn}) \end{pmatrix} \in \varrho$$

holds for all $\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2, \dots, \mathbf{r}_n \in \varrho$ with $\mathbf{r}_i := (r_{1i}, r_{2i}, \dots, r_{hi})$ and $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Set $f(\underline{a}) = \infty$ for all $\underline{a} \in \tilde{E}_k^n \setminus E_k^n$.

Let $pPol_k \varrho$ be the set of all functions of \tilde{P}_k that preserve the relation $\varrho \subseteq \tilde{E}_k^h$. Furthermore, $pPOL_k \varrho := pPol_k(\varrho \cup (\tilde{E}_k^h \setminus E_k^h))$ and $Pol_k \varrho := P_k \cap pPol_k \varrho$ for $\varrho \subseteq E_k^h$.

For the definition of the closed subsets of P_2 we use the usual symbols $\wedge, \vee, +$ and $\bar{}$. \wedge stands for conjunction, \vee

for disjunction, $+$ for addition modulo 2 and $\bar{}$ for negation.

Let

$$M := Pol_2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$S := Pol_2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$L := \bigcup_{n \geq 1} \{f^{(n)} \in P_2 \mid \exists a_0, \dots, a_n \in E_2 : f(\underline{x}) = a_0 + \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \cdot x_i\},$$

$$T_{a,\mu} := Pol_2 \{0, 1\}^\mu \setminus \{\bar{a}\} \text{ for } \mu \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } a \in E_2,$$

$$T_a := T_{a,1}, a \in E_2,$$

$$T_{a,\infty} := \bigcap_{\mu \geq 1} T_{a,\mu}, a \in E_2,$$

$$K := [\wedge] \text{ (set of all conjunctions),}$$

$$D := [\vee] \text{ (set of all disjunctions),}$$

$$C := [c_0, c_1] \text{ (set of all constant functions),}$$

$$C_a := [c_a], a \in \{0, 1\},$$

$$I := [e_1^1] \text{ (set of all projections),}$$

$$\bar{I} := [\bar{}].$$

Theorem 1 (*E. L. Post, [10]*)

The set of all closed subsets of P_2 is countably infinite. The nonempty closed subsets of P_2 are $P_2, S, M, L, T_{a,\mu}, T_{a,\mu} \cap T_{\bar{a}}, T_{a,\mu} \cap M, T_{a,\mu} \cap M \cap T_{\bar{a}}, K \cup C, K \cup C_a, K, D \cup C, D \cup C_a, D, S \cap T_0, S \cap M, S \cap L, S \cap L \cap T_0, L \cap T_a, \bar{I} \cup C, I \cup C, \bar{I}, I \cup C_a, I, C, C_a,$

where $a \in \{0, 1\}$ and $\mu \in \{1, 2, \dots, \infty\}$.

A number of authors has found a new proof of Theorem 1 by now (see e.g. [7] or [14] and the references in [7]).

Let

$$\mathcal{I}_k(A) := \{B \subseteq \tilde{P}_k \mid [B] = B, P_k \cap B = A\},$$

where A is a clone of P_k . It is the aim of this paper to show how to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 2 *Let $A \subseteq P_2$ be a Boolean clone. Then $\mathcal{I}_2(A)$ is a finite set if and only if $T_0 \cap T_1 \cap M \subseteq A$ or $T_0 \cap S \subseteq A$.*

2 On partial clones $C \subseteq \tilde{P}_k$ with

$$C \cap P_k = Pol_k\{(0, s(0))\} \times s^o$$

Let $k = 2 \cdot l$, where $l \in \mathbb{N}$. For a fixed-point-free permutation s consisting of cycles of the length 2 on E_k set

$$s^o := \{(x, s(x)) \mid x \in E_k\},$$

$$\varrho_s := \{(0, 1, x, s(x)) \mid x \in E_k\},$$

$$S := Pol_k s^o$$

$$S_{max} := pPOL_k s^o,$$

$$T := Pol_k\{(0, 1)\},$$

$$T_{max} := pPOL_k\{(0, 1)\},$$

and

$$(ST)_{max} := pPOL_k \varrho_s.$$

Furthermore, for $\{a_0, a_1\} \subseteq \tilde{E}_k$ set

$$U(a_0, a_1) :=$$

$$\bigcup_{n \geq 1} \{f^{(n)} \in \tilde{P}_k \mid \forall x \in \{0, 1\} f(x, x, \dots, x) = a_x\}.$$

Obviously, for all $(a_0, a_1) \in \{(\alpha, \infty), (\infty, \alpha), (\infty, \infty) \mid \alpha \in E_k\}$ we have $U(a_0, a_1) \subseteq (ST)_{max}$, $U(0, 1) \not\subseteq (ST)_{max}$, $S_{max} \cap T_{max} \subset (ST)_{max}$, $(ST)_{max} \cap P_k = S \cap T$ and

$$(ST)_{max} = (S_{max} \cap T_{max}) \cup \bigcup_{\substack{(a_0, a_1) \\ \in (\tilde{E}_k)^2 \setminus E_k^2}} U(a_0, a_1). \quad (1)$$

Lemma 3 *The partial clone $(ST)_{max}$ is the maximal element of the set $\mathcal{I}_k(S \cap T)$.*

Proof. Set w.l.o.g. $s := (0\ 1)(2\ 3)\dots((2l-2)\ (2l-1))$.

Let $f^{(n)} \in \tilde{P}_k \setminus (ST)_{max}$ be arbitrary. Then there are $a_1, \dots, a_n, b_0, \dots, b_3 \in E_k$ with

$$f \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ a_1 & a_2 & \dots & a_n \\ s(a_1) & s(a_2) & \dots & s(a_n) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} b_0 \\ b_1 \\ b_2 \\ b_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

and $(b_0, b_1, b_2, b_3) \in E_k^4 \setminus \varrho_s$. It is easy to show that there are binary functions $g_1, \dots, g_n \in S \cap T$ with $g_i(t, 0) := 0$ and $g_i(t, y) := a_i$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, $t \in \{0, 2, 4, \dots, 2l-2\}$

and $y \in E_k \setminus \{0\}$. Then the binary function g defined by $g := f(g_1, \dots, g_n)$ belongs to $P_k \cap [\{f\} \cup (S \cap T)]$. Since

$$g \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = f \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ a_1 & a_2 & \dots & a_n \\ s(a_1) & s(a_2) & \dots & s(a_n) \end{pmatrix},$$

we have $g \notin S \cap T$. \blacksquare

In order to describe functions of $S_{max} \cap T_{max}$ we define the following relation on E_k^n :

$$\tilde{x} =_s \tilde{y} \text{ if } s^i(\tilde{x}) = \tilde{y} \text{ for some } i \in \{0, 1\},$$

where $s^i(\tilde{x}) = s^i((x_1, \dots, x_n)) := (s^i(x_1), \dots, s^i(x_n))$, $s^0(x) := x$, $s^{i+1}(x) := s(s^i(x))$.

Obviously, $=_s$ is an equivalence relation on E_k^n and it partitions E_k^n into equivalence classes $U_1 := \{0, 1\}$ and U_i ($i = 2, \dots, r_n$, where $r_n := k^n/2$). Choose $v_1 := 0$ and $v_i \in U_i$ ($i = 2, \dots, r_n$) and $V_n := \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{r_n}\}$. Since for all $f^{(n)} \in S$, $\tilde{b} \in E_k^n$ and $i \in E_2$ $f(s^i(\tilde{b})) = s^i(f(\tilde{b}))$, a function $f^{(n)} \in S \cap T$ is fully determined by its values on V_n , where $f(0) = 0$.

For every $f^{(n)} \in S_{max} \cap T_{max}$ set

$$\chi(f) := \{(f(\tilde{a}), f(s(\tilde{a}))) \mid \tilde{a} \in E_k^n\}$$

and, for $(a_0, a_1) \in \{(0, 1), (x, \infty), (\infty, x), (\infty, \infty) \mid x \in E_k\}$ and for $(a_0, a_1) \in R \subseteq \tilde{E}_k^2$, set

$$F_R(a_0, a_1) := \{ g \in S_{max} \cap T_{max} \mid \chi(g) \subseteq R, \\ g(0) = a_0, g(1) = a_1 \}.$$

Obviously,

$$S_{max} \cap T_{max} = \bigcup_{(a_0, a_1) \in \{(0, 1)\} \cup (\tilde{E}_k^2 \setminus E_k^2)} \bigcup_{(a_0, a_1) \in R \subseteq \tilde{E}_k^2} F_R(a_0, a_1) \quad (2)$$

holds.

Lemma 4 *Let $f \in \tilde{P}_k$ with $(f(0), f(1)) = (\alpha, \beta)$.*

- 1) *If $f^{(n)} \in (ST)_{max} \setminus (S_{max} \cap T_{max})$ then $U(\alpha, \beta) \subseteq [\{f\} \cup (S \cap T)]$.*
- 2) *If $f \in (S_{max} \cap T_{max})$ then $F_{\chi(f)}(\alpha, \beta) \subseteq [\{f\} \cup (S \cap T)]$.*

Proof. 1): Let $f^{(n)} \in (ST)_{max} \setminus (S_{max} \cap T_{max})$. Then $(\alpha, \beta) \in \tilde{E}_k^2 \setminus E_k^2$. We can assume $\alpha = \infty$. Furthermore there is a tuple $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in E_k^n \setminus \{\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1}\}$ with

$$(a, b) := (f(a_1, \dots, a_n), f(s(a_1), \dots, s(a_n))) \in E_k^2 \setminus s^\circ.$$

Define $V_m := \{v_1, \dots, v_{r_m}\}$ as in the proof of Lemma 3. One can find the functions

$$f_1^{(m)}, \dots, f_n^{(m)}, r^{(m)}, t^{(2)} \in S \cap T$$

with the properties $f_i(v_j) = a_i$, $r(v_j) = 0$ for all $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and all $v_j \in V_m \setminus \{v_1\}$ and

$$t \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a \\ 1 & b \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} c \\ c \end{pmatrix}$$

for certain $c \in E_k$ with

$$(c, \beta) \notin s^\circ. \quad (3)$$

Thus

$$q^{(m)} := t(r, f(f_1, \dots, f_n)) \in [\{f\} \cup (S \cap T)],$$

where

$$q(\tilde{x}) = \begin{cases} \alpha & \text{for } \tilde{x} = \mathbf{0}, \\ \beta & \text{for } \tilde{x} = \mathbf{1}, \\ c & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let

$$g^{(m)} \in U^*(\alpha, \beta) := \bigcup_{m \geq 1} \{g^{(m)} \in U(\alpha, \beta) \mid \forall \tilde{x} \in E_k^m \setminus \{\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1}\} \ g(\tilde{x}) \in E_k\}.$$

Since a function $h^{(m+1)}$ belongs to $S \cap T$ with the properties

$$h(\beta, 1, 1, \dots, 1) = \beta, \quad \text{if } \beta \neq \infty$$

and

$$\forall \tilde{x} \in E_k^m \setminus \{\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1}\} \ h(c, x_1, \dots, x_n) = g(x_1, \dots, x_n)$$

(see (3)), we have

$$g(\tilde{x}) = h(q(\tilde{x}), \tilde{x}),$$

i.e., $U^*(\alpha, \beta) \subseteq [\{f\} \cup (S \cap T)]$.

Let $\tilde{a} \in E_k^m \setminus \{\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1}\}$. Next we show that a function $u_{\tilde{a}}^{(m)}$ with

$$\begin{aligned} u_{\tilde{a}}(\tilde{a}) &= \infty, \quad u_{\tilde{a}}(\beta) = \beta, \quad \text{if } \beta \neq \infty, \\ u_{\tilde{a}}(\tilde{x}) &\in E_k \quad \text{for all } \tilde{x} \in E_k^m \setminus \{\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1}\} \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

belongs to $[\{f\} \cup (S \cap T)]$.

We can assume that $\beta \in \{1, \infty\}$. If $\beta \in E_k \setminus \{1\}$ then we can choose f' instead of f , where $f'(\tilde{x}) := t'(x_1, f(\tilde{x}))$ and $t' \in S \cap T$ with $t'(1, \beta) = 1$. Let $p_i^{(m)} \in S \cap T$ with $p_i(\tilde{a}) = 0$, $p_i(v_j) = a_i$ for $\tilde{a} \notin \{v_j, s(v_j)\}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$. Then $u_{\tilde{a}} := f(p_1, \dots, p_n)$ fulfills (4).

Let now $g^{(m)} \in U(\alpha, \beta)$ be arbitrary. Then we have

$$g = e_1^{(m+1)}(g', u_{\tilde{a}_1}, \dots, u_{\tilde{a}_d}),$$

where

$$\{\tilde{a}_1, \dots, \tilde{a}_d\} = \{\tilde{x} \in E_k^m \setminus \{\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1}\} \mid g(\tilde{x}) = \infty\}$$

and $g' \in U^*(\alpha, \beta)$ m -ary with $g'(\tilde{x}) = g(\tilde{x})$ for all \tilde{x} with $g(\tilde{x}) \in E_k$.

2): Let $g^{(m)} \in F_{\chi(f)}(\alpha, \beta)$ be arbitrary. Then, for every $v \in V_m$, $(g(v), g(s(v))) = (f(b_v), f(s(b_v)))$ for some $b_v := (b_{v,1}, b_{v,2}, \dots, b_{v,n}) \in V_n$. It is easy to check that there are functions $g_i^{(m)} \in S \cap T$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$) with the property $g_i(v) = b_{v,i}$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $v \in V_m$. Then we have $g = f(g_1, \dots, g_n)$, i.e. 2) holds. ■

From (1), (2), Lemma 3 and Lemma 4 it follows

Theorem 5 *The set $\mathcal{I}_k(S \cap T)$ is finite.* ■

3 A classification of all partial Boolean clones

Theorem 6 *Let A be a closed set of P_2 with*

$$A \subseteq B \in \{L, D \cup C, K \cup C, T_{0,\infty}, T_{1,\infty}\}.$$

Then the set $\mathcal{I}_2(A)$ has the cardinality of continuum.

Proof. The theorem has been proven in [12] for $B \in \{[P_2^{(1)}], D \cup C, K \cup C, T_{0,\infty}, T_{1,\infty}\}$, in [1] for $A = L$, and

is a conclusion of [1] for $A \in \{L \cap T_0, L \cap T_1, L \cap S, L \cap T_0 \cap S\}$. ■

Theorem 7 Let A be a closed set of P_2 with

$$T_0 \cap T_1 \cap M \subseteq A \text{ or } T_0 \cap S \subseteq A.$$

Then $\mathcal{I}_2(A)$ is a finite set and it holds:

A	$ \mathcal{I}_2(A) $
P_2	3
$T_a \ (a \in \{0, 1\})$	6
M	6
S	6
$T_0 \cap T_1$	30
$T_a \cap M \ (a \in \{0, 1\})$	15
$T_0 \cap T_1 \cap M$	101
$T_0 \cap S$	413

Proof. The finiteness of the set $\mathcal{I}_2(S \cap T_0)$ results from Theorem 5. The statement $|\mathcal{I}_2(S \cap T_0)| = 413$ was calculated with the help of a computer (programme description in [9]). One finds the proofs of the remaining statements in [2], [1], [11], [13], [3], [4], and [6]. ■

We need the next theorem for the proof of Theorem 9.

Theorem 8 Let A be a clone of P_k and I a nonempty set. Furthermore, for every $i \in I$, let Q_i be a subset of \tilde{P}_k with the following three properties:

- 1) $Q_i \cap P_k = \emptyset$,
- 2) $[Q_i] = Q_i$,
- 3) $Q_i \star A \subseteq Q_i$, and $A \star Q_i \subseteq Q_i$.

Then, for every partial clone B with $B \subseteq A$, it holds:

- (a) $[Q_i \cup B] = Q_i \cup B$ for all $i \in I$.
- (b) $|\mathcal{I}_k(B)| \geq |I|$, if $Q_i \neq Q_j$ for all $i, j \in I$ with $i \neq j$.

Proof. (a): Let $i \in I$ and $B = [B] \subseteq A$ be arbitrary. Since B and Q_i are closed subsets of \tilde{P}_k , the set $Q_i \cup B$ is closed with respect to the operations ζ, τ, Δ and ∇ . Thus, we have to show that $Q_i \cup B$ is closed with respect to the operation \star . Let $f^{(n)}, g^{(m)} \in Q_i \cup B$ be arbitrary. Then the following two cases are possible:

Case 1: $\{f, g\} \subseteq B$.

Since B is a clone, $f \star g \in B$ holds in this case.

Case 2: $\{f, g\} \cap Q_i \neq \emptyset$.

Obviously, by assumptions 2) and 3), we have $f \star g \in Q_i$.

Therefore, (a) holds.

The statement (b) is a conclusion of 1) and (a). ■

Theorem 9 Let A be a clone of P_2 with

$$A \in \{T_{0,\mu}, T_{0,\mu} \cap T_1, T_{0,\mu} \cap M, T_{0,\mu} \cap T_1 \cap M, T_{0,\mu}, T_{1,\mu} \cap T_0, T_{1,\mu} \cap M, T_{1,\mu} \cap T_0 \cap M, S \cap M\}$$

for $\mu \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$. Then $\mathcal{I}_2(A)$ is an infinite set.

Proof. Without loss of generality let $A \subseteq T_{0,2}$. Further, we set $U_0 := \{f \in \tilde{P}_2 \mid f(\mathbf{0}) = \infty\}$ and

$$q_0^{(n)}(x_1, \dots, x_n) := \begin{cases} \infty & \text{for } x_1 = \dots = x_n = 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

To prove Theorem 9 we make use of Theorem 8 and choose the sets A , I and Q_i as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} A &:= T_{0,2}, \\ I &:= \mathbb{N}, \\ Q_i &:= [\{q_0^{(i)}\} \cup T_{0,2}] \cap U_0 \text{ for } i \in \mathbb{N}. \end{aligned}$$

Obviously, A and Q_i are closed sets for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $U_0 \cap P_k = \emptyset$, we have $Q_i \cap P_k = \emptyset$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Next we show that the above sets A and Q_i also satisfy the condition 3) from Theorem 8:

Let $f \in Q_i \star T_{0,2}$ be arbitrary. Then there are functions $f_1, f_2 \in \tilde{P}_k$ with $f_1 \in [\{q_0^{(i)}\} \cup T_{0,2}] \cap U_0$, $f_2 \in T_{0,2}$ and $f = f_1 \star f_2$. Then $\{f_1, f_2\} \subseteq [\{q_0^{(i)}\} \cup T_{0,2}]$ and $f_1 \star f_2 \in U_0$, since $U_0 \star T_0 \subseteq U_0$. Thus f belongs to $Q_i = [\{q_0^{(i)}\} \cup T_{0,2}] \cap U_0$, i.e., $Q_i \star T_{0,2} \subseteq Q_i$. Analogously one can prove that $T_{0,2} \star Q_i \subseteq Q_i$ holds.

Therefore, the above sets A and Q_i fulfill the conditions 1)–3) given in Theorem 8.

$$\begin{aligned} \varrho_1 &:= \{(0, 0), (0, 1), (\infty, 0), (\infty, 1), (\infty, \infty)\} \text{ and} \\ \varrho_m &:= \\ &\{(0, a_1, \dots, a_m) \in E_2^{m+1} \mid |\{i \in \{1, \dots, m\} \mid a_i = 1\}| \leq 1\} \\ &\cup \{(\infty, b_1, \dots, b_m) \mid (b_1, \dots, b_m) \in \{0, 1, \infty\}^m \setminus E_2^m\} \end{aligned}$$

for $m \geq 2$. It is proved in [8] that the following statements are valid:

$$\begin{aligned} [\{q_0^{(i)}\} \cup T_{0,2}] \cap U_0 &\subseteq pPol_2 \varrho_{i+1}, & \text{and} \\ [\{q_0^{(j)}\} \cup T_{0,2}] \cap U_0 &\not\subseteq pPol_2 \varrho_i \text{ for } i \leq j. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the closed sets Q_i ($i \in \mathbb{N}$) are pairwise different. Therefore, by Theorem 8, (a) and (b), we have that $\mathcal{I}_2(B)$ with $B = [B] \subseteq T_{0,2}$ is an infinite set. ■

Remarks

- 1) One finds some generalizations of the results given above in [3], [5] and [9].
- 2) Let $A \in \{T_{0,\mu}, T_{0,\mu} \cap T_1, T_{0,\mu} \cap M, T_{0,\mu} \cap T_1 \cap M, T_{0,\mu}, T_{1,\mu} \cap T_0, T_{1,\mu} \cap M, T_{1,\mu} \cap T_0 \cap M, S \cap M\}$ with $\mu \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$. The set $\mathcal{I}_2(A)$ is infinite by Theorem 6. The authors, however, do not know whether $\mathcal{I}_2(A)$ is countable or has the cardinality of continuum. The following statement is proved in [8]: *Assume, there is a partial clone in $\mathcal{I}_2(A)$ which has an infinite basis. Then $\mathcal{I}_2(A)$ has the cardinality of continuum.*

References

- [1] Alekseev, V. B., Voronenko, A. A.: On some closed classes in partial two-valued logic. (Russian) *Discretn. Mat.*, **6**, 4 (1994), 58–79.
- [2] Freivald, R. V.: Completeness criteria for functions of the algebra of logic and many-valued logics, English Translation in *Dokl. Akad. Nauk. SSSR* **167** **6** (1966) 1249–1250.
- [3] Haddad, L., Lau, D., Rosenberg, I. G.: Intervals of partial clones containing maximal clones. *Journal of Automata, Languages and Combinatorics*, **11** (2006), No 4, 399–421.
- [4] Haddad, L., Simons, G. E.: Intervals of Boolean Partial Clones. *Italian Journal of Pure and App. Math.* No 21 (2007), 147–162.
- [5] Haddad, L., Lau, D.: Uncountable families of partial clones containing maximal clones. *Contributions to Algebra and Geometry* Volume 48 (2007), No 1, 257–280.
- [6] Haddad, L.: Partial clones containing all selfdual monotonic Boolean partial functions. *39th International Symposium on Multiple-Valued Logic* (2009), 173–178.
- [7] Lau, D.: Function Algebras on Finite Sets. Basis Course on many-valued Logic and Clone Theory. Series: Springer Monographs in Mathematics. 668 p., Springer 2006.
- [8] Lau, D.: Intervals of Boolean partial clones. In preparation.
- [9] Lau, D., Schölzel, K.: Some intervals of partial clones. In preparation.
- [10] Post, E.: The iterative systems of mathematical logic. *Annals of Math. Studies* **5**, Princeton University Press (1941), Princeton, N.J.
- [11] Strauch, B.: On partial classes containing all monotone and zero-preserving total Boolean functions. *Math. Log. Quart.* **43** (1997), 510–524.
- [12] Strauch, B.: Noncountable many classes containing a fixed class of total Boolean functions. In: Denecke, Klaus et al., *General algebra and applications in discrete mathematics*. Shaker Verlag, 177–188 (1997).
- [13] Strauch, B.: The classes which contain all monotone and idempotent total Boolean functions. Universität Rostock, preprint 1996.
- [14] Zverovich, I. E.: Characterizations of closed classes of Boolean functions in terms of forbidden subfunctions and Post classes. *Discrete Applied Mathematics* **149**, 200–218 (2005)